INTRODUCTION: Adequate muscle reaction time is essential for protecting the joints against injuries during sports activities. This phenomenon of time of acceleration has been investigated through methodologies such as trapdoor experiments and electromyography. However, isokinetic analysis is an assessment method that is more dynamic and shows behavior closer to the functionality of the sport. Sports that involve running, such as long distance running and triathlon, have high lower-limb injury rates, particularly in relation to the ankle joint. The objective of this study was to evaluate and compare isokinetic acceleration and deceleration times of the dorsiflexor and plantar flexor musculature of the ankle in long-distance runners, triathletes and non-athletic individuals.

METHOD: The sample comprised 75 individuals (men aged 18-42 years), divided into three groups: triathlete group, long-distance runner group and control group. The individuals were tested bilaterally on an isokinetic dynamometer. The evaluation modes used were (i) concentric/eccentric for plantar flexion and dorsiflexion, and (ii) eccentric/concentric for plantar flexion and dorsiflexion. We used (a) analysis of variance and Tukey’s post hoc test; (b) Kruskal-Wallis and Müller-Dunn post hoc tests; (c) Chi-square tests.

RESULTS: The acceleration time during concentric contraction was statistically different only between the control group and the long-distance runner group, such that the controls presented faster acceleration.

CONCLUSION: This may signify a deficiency in their motor sensory control during concentric activity of the dorsiflexors.

KEYWORDS: Isokinetic, Running, Triathlon.


Received for Publication on May 10, 2017; First review on June 23, 2017; Accepted for publication on July 18, 2017; Online on August, 14, 2017

E-mail: nmsluna@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Sports such as running, which have increased in popularity worldwide, have high lower-limb injury rates, particularly in relation to the ankle. Among triathlon competitors, greater numbers of injuries can be seen; most of these are in the lower limbs (59.5%) and occur during the running event (38%).

An adequate muscle reaction time is essential for protecting the joints against injuries during sports activities that demand rapidly coordinated muscle action. The muscle reaction mechanism is related to the arthrokinetic reflex, which is influenced by velocity and acceleration; these, in turn, are important parameters in relation to motor function but have been less investigated than muscle strength and resistance.

The phenomenon of acceleration has been investigated through methodologies such as trapdoor experiments and electromyography. However, isokinetic analysis is an assessment method that is more dynamic and shows a behavior that is closer to the functionality of the sport.
Isokinetic evaluation defines the variable of acceleration time as “the time required to accelerate to a preset dynamometer speed.”11 This isokinetic variable has been correlated with the nerve conduction velocity.7

Because it is well established that the isokinetic muscle characteristics for any given sport reflect specific features and demands of the sport practice,13-15 analysis of the variable “acceleration time” in running and triathlon athletes is essential in order to draw up further strategies for injury prevention programs. Moreover, there is a scarcity of data on this variable in isokinetic ankle tests, and such tests need to be reproducible for better sports practice.

Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate and compare the acceleration and deceleration times of the dorsiflexor and plantar flexor musculature of the ankle, by means of isokinetic dynamometry, among long-distance runners, triathletes and non-athletic individuals.

## METHODS

### Ethics committee

This project was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research Project Analysis (CAPPesq) of the Clinical Board of Hospital das Clínicas, School of Medicine of the University of São Paulo, case # 932/08. All the participants were duly informed about the procedures and stages of the study and signed a free and informed consent statement.

### Sample

The sample included 75 men aged 18 to 42 years, part of a larger study. Participants were divided into three groups: 26 triathletes (triathlete group - TG), 23 long-distance runners (long-distance runner group - LDRG) and 26 non-athletic individuals (control group - CG). Sample size calculation indicated that 22 individuals in each group allow (at a power of 90%) us to conclude that differences greater than or equal to two standard deviations would be statistically significant at a significance level of 5%.

Individuals in the two groups of athletes were contacted through sports advisors for triathlon and running and recruited following a selection of those candidates who met the required criteria. Individuals in the control group were recruited by publicizing the study among employees, undergraduate and graduate students at Hospital das Clínicas, School of Medicine of the University of São Paulo.

The inclusion criteria for each group were as follows:

1. Triathlete group (TG) – regular training in this sport for competitive purposes, (6.5 ± 5.6 years); weekly training volume (homogenous over the three months prior to the evaluations) of a minimum of 30 km of running (50.7 ± 16.0 km), 60 km of cycling (230.7 ± 84.1 km) and 5 km of swimming (9.3 ± 4.1 km).

2. Long-distance runner group (LDRG) – regular training in this sport for competitive purposes (6.8 ± 6.0 years); weekly training volume (homogenous over the three months prior to the evaluations) of a minimum of 60 km (104.2 ± 36.8 km).15

3. Control group (GC) – physical activity practice with the aim of maintaining aerobic physical conditioning, without any regular sports training aims; frequency of this physical activity from two to three times a week (2.7 ± 0.4 (homogenous over the three months prior to the evaluations).16

The exclusion criteria common to the three groups were the following: presence of ankle joint injuries over the last six months, injury defined as an event that put the athlete out of the sport for 24 hours or more consecutively;17 presence of pain during the period when the tests were being done.

Table 1 describes the anthropometric data on the individuals of the three groups (weight, height and age).

### Procedures

The materials needed for carrying out the study were as follows: isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex System 3, with software version 3.2); ergometric bicycle (Moviment, model Biocyclus 2600 Electromagnetic); weighing scales (Welmy); and goniometer (Carci).

## Table 1 - Means, standard deviations and minimum and maximum ages (years), height (m) and body mass (kg) in the triathlete, long-distance runner and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlete group</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33.03±4.42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-distance runner group</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28.86±7.63</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28.73±6.50</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30.26±6.51</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (m)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlete group</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.75±0.05</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-distance runner group</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.71±0.05</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.76±0.06</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.74±0.06</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlete group</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>73.81±7.44</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-distance runner group</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>64.22±6.69</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>74.95±10.05</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>71.26±9.41</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To carry out the evaluations, appointments were made with the individuals for them to attend a single session. They were instructed to come wearing sports clothes and their usual sports footwear and were required not to perform any high intensity physical activity over the preceding 12 hours.

On the day of the evaluation, height and body mass were measured, followed by isokinetic evaluation (Biodex System 3, with the software version 3.2). Before the test, participants did a warm-up exercise on an ergometric bicycle for five minutes, consisting of submaximal effort bicycle (comfortable loading and cadence that would not cause fatigue). Following this, the subjects performed the tests, which consisted of stretching of the dorsiflexors and plantar flexor muscles of the feet in three sets of 30 seconds.

Before the tests were started, the isokinetic dynamometer was calibrated and positioned. Participants were placed in a seated position, with the leg to be tested supported in the distal region of the thigh and the sole of the foot supported on a rigid plate, with the knee flexed at 30°. The biological axis of ankle joint was aligned with the mechanical axis of the dynamometer. The rigid plate allowed a range of motion of 20° of plantar flexion from the neutral position of the ankle. The individual was kept in position by means of two belts across the chest and one across the pelvis, with velcro bands over the distal portion of the thigh and the metatarsal area of the dorsal region of the foot. The subjects were instructed to hold onto the lateral supports of the chair to improve their stability.

Once the subject had been positioned, he was allowed three submaximal repetitions of the routine to become familiar with the equipment. The evaluation modes were performed in the following sequence of modes: (i) concentric/eccentric and (ii) eccentric/concentric for ankle.

In the first mode, the individuals were asked to use maximum force and speed to perform plantar flexion (plantar flexion concentric action) and resist dorsiflexion (plantar flexion eccentric action). In the second mode, the individuals were asked to resist plantar flexion movement (dorsiflexors eccentric action) and use maximum force and speed to contract the dorsiflexors (dorsiflexors concentric action).

All the tests were performed bilaterally and always started with the right leg. Each set of 30 repetitions was performed to attain an angular speed of 180°/second, with a rest of 10 seconds between sets. While the tests were being performed, constant standardized verbal encouragement was given, so that the individuals would maintain maximum force during the contractions.

The isokinetic variables analyzed were: acceleration time (time required to reach the angular velocity of 180°/second and deceleration time (time required for deceleration to an angular velocity of zero).

## Statistical analysis

The normality of these variables was verified by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The non-dominant and dominant limbs were compared using a t-test for the dependent samples in all groups with the objective of observing possible differences between them. The analyses did not discriminate between the limbs because no significant differences were found between them.

For normally distributed variables ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test were used. For non-normal distributions, the Kruskal-Wallis and Müller-Dunn post hoc tests were used. Isokinetic performance was analyzed through Chi-square tests to compare the three groups for the eccentric contraction of plantar flexors. The statistical software SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science, version 15.0 for Windows) was used for the analyses, and a value of $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

To analyze the isokinetic variables at the angular velocity of 180°/second, comparisons were made between the triathlete, long-distance runner and control groups. Table 2 shows that the control group had a significantly shorter acceleration time compared to Long-distance runners for concentric dorsiflexion. No other differences were observed.

## DISCUSSION

Acceleration time is the time needed to attain the pre-established angular velocity in the isokinetic evaluation; deceleration time is time required for deceleration to an angular velocity of zero. The acceleration and deceleration times provide information on the neuromuscular condition and the velocity of regimentation of the muscle fibers. So, deficits in these variables may suggest poor proprioception. In our study, the acceleration and deceleration times were analyzed for plantar flexion (concentric and eccentric action) and dorsiflexion (concentric and eccentric action) in athlete groups. A somewhat surprising statistical difference was observed for concentric dorsiflexion: controls exhibited a significantly faster acceleration when compared to long distance runners. No other statistical differences were found between the groups for acceleration and deceleration time during the concentric and eccentric contractions of the dorsiflexors and of the plantar flexors.

So, the results of our study are unexpected because one might intuitively expect athletes to perform better than
untrained controls. This may signify a deficiency in their motor sensory control for this activity in the long-distance run and triathlon. Deficits in muscle reaction time can be correlated with alterations to the musculoskeletal system, which in turn compromise the protective effect of the leg muscles on the stability of this ankle joint. In fact, in long-distance aerobic sports such as running and the triathlon, the lower limbs are often the sites of overload injuries.

Adequate proprioception of the ankle is essential for promoting dynamic and functional stability of the ankle in extreme sports, such as long distance running and triathlon. It seems that deficits of the proprioceptive system are the main causes of muscle weakness and postural instability. This should also result in poor protection of the ankle against the indiscriminate mechanisms and so result in injuries.

Few studies have used acceleration and deceleration times as variables during isokinetic evaluations, while the other studies analyzed other joints.

van Cingel et al. reported that subjects with chronic ankle instability showed prolonged acceleration times for the evertor muscles (concentric action) at 30°/s and 120°/s as well as for the invertor muscles (concentric action) at 120°/s, when compared with control subjects. So, the authors suggested that these findings could be related to the inversion trauma and consequently slower motor nerve conduction velocity of the fibular nerve.

Ben Moussa Zouita et al. examined isokinetic movements of ankle and reported that proprioceptive training exercises (during 8 weeks) resulted in decreased acceleration and deceleration times for the plantar flexors (concentric action).

Two recent reports assessed a parameter related to acceleration time, namely electromechanical delay, which represents the time required by the muscles to provide a protective response to an injury mechanism. The purpose of these studies was to investigate the effect of chronic ankle instability on electromechanical delay times before and after fatigue. They showed that fatigue increases electromechanical delay times, suggesting that fatigue creates a dangerous environment that may predispose to ankle injury.

Concentric and eccentric contractions of the dorsiflexors and the plantar flexors certainly require further investigation to be better understood. This is the first study investigating this variable in runners and triathletes. It is also necessary to address the position of the test and the angular velocities used, which were different from those used during running; this may explain the longer time taken to attain the angular velocity of the test. However, and despite the limitations of isokinetic evaluation in athletes is well established because of the possibility of obtaining precise data on muscle performance. However, it is difficult to compare the various reported isokinetic studies because of differences in the protocols: numbers of repetitions, velocity and type of contraction, as well as

### Table 2 – Means and standard deviations (SD) of the isokinetic variables at the velocity of 180°/second, in the eccentric-concentric mode (ECC/CO) and concentric-eccentric mode (CO/ECC), and comparison between the triathlete, long-distance runner and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Triathlete group Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Long-distance runner group Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Control group Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eccentric/Concentric mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration time for concentric dorsiflexion (msec)</td>
<td>181.1 ± 48.0</td>
<td>183.7 ± 27.7</td>
<td>178.3 ± 105.9</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concentric/Eccentric mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration time for concentric plantar flexion (msec)</td>
<td>16.7 ± 4.7</td>
<td>17.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>15.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration time for eccentric plantar flexion (msec)</td>
<td>307.7 ± 390.2</td>
<td>328.4 ± 351.3</td>
<td>413.5 ± 647.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant difference between long distance runners and controls.
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the individual’s positioning and the brand of dynamometer used.35

In conclusion, statistical differences were found between the control vs. the long-distance runner group in relation to the acceleration time during concentric contraction of the dorsiflexors. This result may signify a deficiency in the motor sensory control during concentric and eccentric activity of the dorsiflexors and plantar flexors of the trained athletes.
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ANÁLISE DE TEMPO DE ACELERAÇÃO DO TORNOZELO EM CORREDORES DE LONGA DISTÂNCIA E TRIATLETAS

INTRODUÇÃO: O tempo adequado de reação muscular é essencial para proteger as articulações contra lesões durante atividades esportivas. Este fenômeno de tempo de aceleração tem sido investigado por meio de metodologias como experimentos com trampolim e eletromiografia. No entanto, a análise isocinética é um método de avaliação que é mais dinâmico e mostra comportamento mais próximo da funcionalidade do esporte. Corrida de longa distância e triatlo têm altas taxas de lesão de membros inferiores, particularmente em relação à articulação do tornozelo. O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar e comparar os tempos de aceleração e desaceleração isocinética do dorsiflexor e da musculatura flexora plantar do tornozelo em corredores de longa distância, triatletas e indivíduos não atléticos.

MÉTODO: A amostra incluiu 75 indivíduos (homens com idade entre 18-42 anos), divididos em três grupos: triatletas, corredores de longa distância e grupo controle. Os indivíduos foram testados bilateralmente em um dinamômetro isocinético. Os modos de avaliação utilizados foram: 1. concêntricos/excêntricos para flexão plantar e dorsiflexão; 2. excêntricos/concêntricos para flexão plantar e dorsiflexão. A análise estatística utilizou análise de variância e teste post hoc de Tukey; Testes post hoc de Kruskal-Wallis e Müller-Dunn e testes Qui-quadrado.

RESULTADOS: O tempo de aceleração durante a contração excêntrica foi estatisticamente diferente apenas entre o grupo controle e o grupo corredor de longa distância: os controlos apresentaram aceleração mais rápida.

CONCLUSÃO: Este resultado pode indicar uma deficiência no controle sensorial do seu motor durante a atividade concêntrica dos dorsiflexores.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Isocinética, Corrida, Triatlo.
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